

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/766,758	01/27/2004	Robert Jensen	021751-000710US	2259
68218 7590 08/24/2007 TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP/PIXAR TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER			EXAMINER	
			PHAM, MICHAEL	
EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2167	
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Intonvious Summans	10/766,758	JENSEN ET AL.			
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Michael D. Pham M.R.	2167			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):				
(1) <u>Michael D. Pham</u> .	(3) <u>Andrew Lee (reg. 60, 3</u>	<u>71)</u> .			
(2) <u>Cam Y Truong</u> .	(4)Bart Sullivan and Robert Jensen.				
Date of Interview: 22 August 2007.					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊠ applicant	2)⊠ applicant's representative	;]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.				
Claim(s) discussed: Indepedent. I.					
Identification of prior art discussed: Szabo.					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g)	I/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' discussed the invention with respect to the claims, and explained the novelty of the invention. The proposed amendments and arguments proposed by Applicants' appear to overcome the cited reference. Further consideration and/or search would be required. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.					
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Interview Summary Paper No. 20070822

Examiner's signature, if required

P.1 NO.392 AUG.21.2007 12:11PM TTC-PA 650-326-2422 TOWNSEND San Francisco, California Palo Alto Tel 415 576-0200 and Walnut Creek, California 379 Lytton Avenue Tel 925 472-5000 TOWNSEND Palo Alto California 94301-1431 San Diego, California Tel 858 350-6100 and Tel 650-326-2400 Fax 650-328-2422 CREW Denver, Colorado Tel 303 571-4000 LLF Seattle, Washington Tel 206 467-9800 FACSIMILE COVER SHEET No. Pages (including this one): Client & Matter Number: Date: August 21, 2007 021751-000710US Confirmation Phone Number: At Fax Number: To: 1-571-273-3924 **Examiner Michael Pham** U.S. Patent & Trademark Office From: Andrew J. Lee (3778)Please see the attached informal communication Message: BE SENT BY MESSENGER NOT BE SENT BE SENT BY MAIL BE SENT BY FEDEX/OVERNIGHT COURIER Original

Faxed:

Will:

Return to: Maureen Golob - (5472)

If you have problems with reception please call Fax Services at extension 5537

important

This message is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and/or exempt from disclosure by applicable law or court order. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the amployes or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone and return the original message to us at the above address via the United States Postal Service. Thank you.

61130601 V1

Informal Communication

To:

Examiner Michael Pham

Fax: 571-273-3924

From:

Andrew Lee (Reg. No. 60,371), 650-324-6351

Townsend and Townsend and Crew LLP

Date:

August 21, 2007

Re:

Application No. 10/766,758 filed January 27, 2004

Proposed Agenda for Interview Scheduled for August 22, 2007, 11:00AM

PST (2:00PM EST)

Examiner Pham:

As per our telephone conversation on August 17, 2007, please find below an outline of the points I propose to discuss in an interview for the above referenced application.

I. Section 102(e) Rejection of Independent Claim 1

A. Proposed amendments to Claim 1

1. (Currently Amended) A method for a computer system comprises

comprising:

opening a first file describing a first object in an object environment minning on

the computer system, the first file including a specification of a first object;

determining, from the specification of the first object, a reference for to a second object, wherein the second object includes a first plurality of public attributes and a second plurality of private attributes;

receiving a second file describing the second object from a storage system; in response to the reference to the second object, the second file including a specification of the second object, the specification of the second object including information identifying a plurality of public attributes of the second object and a plurality of private attributes of the second object;

opening the second file describing the second object in the object environment; determining a modified value for a public attribute from the first plurality of

public attributes for of the second object; and

including, in the first file, the reference for to the second object and the modified

value for the public attribute of the second object;

wherein the <u>specification of the</u> second object is not stored in the first file; and wherein values for the second plurality of private attributes of the second object cannot be modified by users of the first file.

To: Examiner Michael Pham

Fax: 571-273-3924

From: Andrew Lee (Reg. No. 60,371), 650-324-

6351

August 13, 2007 Page 2

B. Features of the present invention

- 1. Embodiments of the present invention provide techniques for building computer graphics models that incorporate shared components
- 2. One aspect of the present invention is the definition of public and private attributes for a shared component
 - a) A public attribute is an attribute of the shared component whose value may be overridden by users (e.g., modelers) that reference the shared component in a model
 - A private attribute is an attribute of the shared component whose value cannot be changed by users that reference the shared component in a model
- In one set of embodiments, information identifying the public and private attributes of a shared component (i.e., which attributes are public and which attributes are private) is included as part of the specification of the shared component
 - a) In this manner, a creator of the shared component may control how downstream users use the shared component in their models
- C. Distinguishing features of claim 1, as amended, over Szabo (U.S. Patent No. 6,768,486)
 - 1. Amended claim 1 recites, in part "receiving a second file in response to the reference to the second object, the second file including a specification of the second object, the specification of the second object including information identifying. . . a plurality of private attributes of the second object" wherein "values for the plurality of private attributes of the second object cannot be modified by users of the first file."

August 13, 2007

Page 3

To: Examiner Michael Pham

Fax: 571-273-3924

From: Andrew Lee (Reg. No. 60,371), 650-324-

6351

2. The Office Action asserts that Szabo discloses private attributes of a second (i.e., shared) object because Szabo describes inserting an "XModifier" 302 into modifier stack 214: "XModifier data 350 causes an XTC object to be associated with the geometry object... the XTC object can take appropriate actions, such as to ensure that certain defined properties and/or constraints are allowed to flow up the modifier stack

and/or to influence changes that are made by higher-ordered components

3. The Office Action asserts that "the 'constraints' are interpreted to be essentially the same as private attributes." (Office Action: pg. 3).

within the modifier stack." (Szabo: col. 19, lines 33-57).

- 4. However, <u>nowhere</u> does Szabo disclose or suggest that XModifier 302 or its corresponding constraints are <u>included as part of the specification of base geometry object 224</u>
 - As best understood, XModifier 302 is simply another modifier component (such as taper modifier 228 and bend modifier 226) that may be applied by a user on top of base geometry object 224 in modifier stack 214 to generate a derived object
 - b) Thus, XModifier 302 and its corresponding constraints are defined by a user during the process of creating a derived object from a base object; XModifier 302 is not included in the specification the base geometry object itself
- Further, Szabo fails to disclose or suggest "wherein values for the plurality of private attributes of the second object cannot be modified by users of the first file" as recited in amended claim 1
- 6. As described above, XModifier 302 is simply a stack component that is defined by a user that is referencing base geometry object 224 to create a derived object (e.g., user of the first file)
 - a) The user is free to remove XModifier 302 from modifier stack 214, or relocate it to a different position within the stack. (Szabo: col. 20, lines 48-62)
 - b) Accordingly, the user may <u>freely modify or eliminate the</u> constraints imposed by XModifier 302